

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/669,162	09/22/2003	Ronald R. Breaker	25006.0016U2	4368
23859 7590 06/12/2008 NEEDLE & ROSENBERG, P.C.			EXAMINER	
SUITE 1000 999 PEACHTREE STREET ATLANTA. GA 30309-3915			ZARA, JANE J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1635	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/12/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/669,162 BREAKER ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Jane Zara 1635 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 May 2008. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-7.20.21 and 46-69 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-7,20,21 and 46-69 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10-15-07.

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Art Unit: 1635

This Office action is in response to the communication filed 5-13-08.

Claims 1-7, 20, 21, 46-69 are pending in the instant application.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 5-13-08 has been entered.

Response to Arguments and Amendments

Withdrawn Rejections

Any rejections not repeated in this Office action are hereby withdrawn.

Applicant's arguments, filed 5-13-08, in response to the rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejections have been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made as set forth below.

Maintained Rejections

Claims 1-7, 20, 47, 48, 50, 51, 53, 54, 56 and 57 are rejected under 35
U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Breaker (Curr. Opin. Biotech., <u>13</u>: 31-39, Feb. 1,

Art Unit: 1635

2002) for the reasons of record set forth in the Office actions mailed 12-12-06 and 7-10-07, and as set forth below.

Applicant's arguments filed 5-13-07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the teachings of Breaker do not properly anticipate the instant invention because Breaker does not disclose the elements of the claimed riboswitch molecule, but instead discloses a ribozyme. Applicant also argues that Breaker does not teach a riboswitch operably linked to a coding region.

Applicant is correct that the rejected claims recite a riboswitch operably linked to a coding region, but, contrary to Applicant's assertions, the term a "coding region" can be reasonably interpreted to encompass coding regions comprising less than full length polypeptides (e.g. coding region can be interpreted to encompass RNA encoding a single amino acid, or comprising two amino acids) and therefore the structural limitation of a "coding region" is satisfied by Breaker.

Applicant also argues that Breaker does not teach riboswitches at all, and that the expression platform portion of the riboswitches encompassed by the instant disclosure are distinguishable from ribozymes.

Contrary to Applicant's assertions, page 42, lines 12-14 of the instant specification describes the "expression platform domain" as a part of a riboswitch that "allows, prevents, enhances or inhibits expression of an RNA molecule containing the structure." This definition of an expression platform domain reasonably encompasses the allosteric ribozymes included in the riboswitches taught by Breaker (see e.g. Figures 1 and 3, pages 32 and 34 of Breaker).

Art Unit: 1635

For these reasons, the instant 102 rejection is maintained.

New Rejections

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-7, 20, 21, 46-69 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites an RNA comprising a "riboswitch operably linked to a coding region" in line 2. The metes and bounds of "a coding region" cannot be determined:

Does this "coding region" encompass RNA encoding a single amino acid, or is it meant to encompass RNA encoding a polypeptide construct?

It is also unclear whether the riboswitch in claim 1 regulates expression of the RNA encoding the entire regulatable gene expression construct (i.e. the riboswitch and the coding region), or only regulates the expression of the operably linked coding region.

Appropriate clarification is required.

Conclusion

Certain papers related to this application may be submitted to Art Unit 1635 by facsimile transmission. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices

Art Unit: 1635

published in the Official Gazette, 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993) and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993) (see 37 C.F.R. '1.6(d)). The official fax telephone number for the Group is 571-273-8300. NOTE: If Applicant does submit a paper by fax, the original signed copy should be retained by applicant or applicant's representative. NO DUPLICATE COPIES SHOULD BE SUBMITTED so as to avoid the processing of duplicate papers in the Office.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jane Zara whose telephone number is (571) 272-0765. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Douglas Schultz, can be reached on (571) 272-0763. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jane Zara 6-5-08

/Jane Zara/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1635 Art Unit: 1635